State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
Department of Transportation

Prepared By: Terry L. Abbott Chief Division of Local Assistance (916) 653-1776 PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS AB 1012 Balance Report Resolution:

CTC Meeting: February 28, 2002

Reference No.: 3.5

Original Signed by
ROBERT L. GARCIA
Chief Financial Officer
February 1, 2002

THIRD CYCLE AB 1012 UNOBLIGATED RSTP, CMAQ and REGIONAL TEA "USE IT OR LOSE IT" BALANCE REPORT

BACKGROUND

AB 1012 was enacted October 10, 1999 with a goal of improving the delivery of transportation projects. A section of the legislation states that regional agency Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) and Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds that are not obligated within the first three years of federal eligibility are subject to reprogramming by the California Transportation Commission in the fourth year. In addition, the Department is required to submit quarterly progress reports on impacted fund balances to the Commission.

UPDATED AB 1012 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE REPORT

The annual notice to regional agencies for the third cycle of AB 1012 use it or lose it provisions was released on December 3, 2001. At that time, third cycle RSTP, CMAQ, and Regional Transportation Enhancement Activity (TEA) funds subject to potential reprogramming on December 3, 2002 totaled approximately \$184.5 million.

The December 31, 2001 balance reports show total funds subject to reprogramming for the third cycle of AB 1012 are approximately \$161.3 million. This is made up of approximately \$60.7 million in CMAQ funds for nine separate agencies, \$68.5 million in RSTP funds for eight agencies, and \$32 million in Regional TEA funds for 31 agencies. This is an overall reduction of \$23.2 million, or approximately 13 percent, from the initial third cycle notification. The largest reduction occurred in RSTP funds (\$15.3 million).

Information on RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA balances as of December 31, 2001, by region, is attached.

Attachment

APPORTIONMENT STATUS REPORT as of December 31, 2001 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ)

| <u>Region</u> | | CMAQ Jnobligated 12/31/2001 Delivery Balance ¹ | CMAQ Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming Cycle 3 12/03/2002 ² |
|------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Butte | | 1,413,070 | 503,534 |
| Fresno | | 14,457,565 | 3,995,468 |
| Kern | | 3,964,174 | - |
| Kings | | , , , - | _ |
| Los Angeles | | 353,603 | - |
| Madera | | - | - |
| Merced | | 363,718 | - |
| Monterey | | 2,519,418 | - |
| Orange | | 56,721,075 | 19,269,881 |
| Riverside | | 41,681,013 | 16,698,581 |
| Sacramento (SACOG) | | (6,842,402) | - |
| San Benito | | 681,409 | 257,249 |
| San Bernardino | | 36,133,117 | 14,765,114 |
| San Diego | | (4,879,880) | - |
| S.F. Bay Area (MTC) San Joaquin | | (16,571,695) 3,434,146 | - |
| San Luis Obispo | | 3,434,140 | - |
| Santa Barbara | | 5,709,136 | 1,607,456 |
| Santa Cruz | | 571,235 | 1,007,400 |
| Stanislaus | | 2,888,720 | _ |
| Tahoe | | 807,009 | 272,752 |
| Tulare | | 7,483,268 | 3,279,843 |
| Ventura | | 7,635,239 | - |
| Rural Counties & SCAG | | - | - |
| TOTAL | _ | 450 500 000 | # 00 040 0 7 0 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 158,522,938 | \$60,649,878 |

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Reflects bal. 2-years old entering 3rd year.

⁻ Cycle 3 assumes the use of all cycle 2 balances.

APPORTIONMENT STATUS REPORT as of December 31, 2001 Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP)

| <u>Region</u> | RSTP Unobligated 12/31/2001 Delivery Balance ¹ | RSTP Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming Cycle 3 12/03/2002 ² | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Butte Fresno Kern Kings Los Angeles Madera Merced Monterey Orange Riverside Sacramento (SACOG) San Benito San Bernardino San Diego S.F. Bay Area (MTC) San Joaquin San Luis Obispo Santa Barbara Santa Cruz Stanislaus Tahoe Tulare Ventura Rural Counties & SCAG | (22,512) 10,916,549 2,924,051 - 111,851,695 - 1,376,593 1,979,858 68,012,966 16,824,888 17,896,605 227,951 5,130,986 52,676,342 (16,716,695) 1,281,927 1,495,741 2,921,012 (242,644) 3,298,591 307,101 - 3,553,889 3,717,259 | 3,571,175 14,317,250 17,515,744 3,945,115 2,718,839 25,186,993 | 3 |
| TOTAL | \$ 289,412,153 | \$68,516,584 | |

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Reflects bal. 2-years old entering 3rd year.

⁻ Cycle 3 assumes the use of all cycle 2 balances.

³ Orange County's balance is a result of State use of Orange County CMAQ funds.

⁻ Repayment was made with RSTP funds in cycle 2.

⁻ Orange County must obligate cycle 2 and cycle 3 balances by 12/03/02.

APPORTIONMENT STATUS REPORT as of December 31, 2001 Regional Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA)

| <u>Region</u> | R-TEA Unobligated 12/31/2001 Delivery Balance ¹ | R-TEA Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming Cycle 3 12/03/2002 ² |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Butte | <u>-</u> | - |
| Fresno | 2,128,623 | 934,308 |
| Kern | 719,690 | - |
| Kings | 123,719 | - |
| Los Angeles | 27,217,719 | 11,137,454 |
| Madera | - | - |
| Merced | - | - |
| Monterey | 1,097,333 | 454,984 |
| Orange | 5,228,404 | 2,099,605 |
| Riverside | 5,777,473 | 2,075,996 |
| Sacramento (SACOG) | 3,117,948 | 1,061,790 |
| San Benito | 178,892 | 71,611 |
| San Bernardino | 5,628,683 | 2,661,668 |
| San Diego | 4,998,513 | 1,390,786 |
| S.F. Bay Area (MTC) | 14,516,911 | 5,680,525 |
| San Joaquin | 123,604 | - |
| San Luis Obispo | 723,279 | 78,135 |
| Santa Barbara | 2,053,053 | 736,018 |
| Santa Cruz | 571,272 | 193,642 |
| Stanislaus | 471,081 | - |
| Tahoe | 322,980 | 87,070 |
| Tulare Ventura | 1,899,827 | 719,642 |
| | 702,449 | |
| Rural Counties & SCAG | 7,974,292 | 2,700,698 |
| TOTAL | \$85,575,745 | \$32,083,932 |

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Reflects bal. 2-years old entering 3rd year.

⁻ Cycle 3 assumes the use of all cycle 2 balances.

APPORTIONMENT STATUS REPORT as of December 31, 2001

Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) for Rural Counties

| <u>Region</u> | RSTP Unobligated 12/31/2001 Delivery Balance ¹ | RSTP Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming Cycle 3 12/03/2002 2 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rural County Information: | | |
| Del Norte | - | - |
| Humboldt | 19,000 | - |
| Lake | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - |
| Shasta | 59,043 | - |
| Siskiyou Tehama | - | - |
| Trinity | <u>-</u> | - - |
| Colusa | 13,926 | _ _ |
| El Dorado | 1,300,186 | 239,982 |
| Glenn | 51,439 | - |
| Nevada | , - | - |
| Placer | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - |
| Inyo | - | - |
| Mono | - | - |
| Alpine | - | - |
| Amador | 49,357 | - |
| Calaveras | - | - |
| Mariposa Tuolumne | - | - |
| Imperial (SCAG) | 2,224,308 | 1,021,486 |
| Rural Combined Totals: | \$3,717,259 | \$1,261,468 |

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Reflects bal. 2-years old entering 3rd year.

⁻ Cycle 3 assumes the use of all cycle 2 balances.

APPORTIONMENT STATUS REPORT as of December 31, 2001

Regional Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) for Rural Counties

| <u>Region</u> | R-TEA Unobligated 12/31/2001 Delivery Balance ¹ | R-TEA Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming Cycle 3 12/03/2002 ² |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rural County Information: | | |
| Del Norte | 303,950 | 83,047 |
| Humboldt | 1,082,345 | 346,760 |
| Lake | 205,829 | 58,141 |
| Mendocino | (108,026) | - |
| Lassen | 620,230 | 209,203 |
| Modoc | - | - |
| Plumas | 384,775 | 130,263 |
| Shasta | 30,683 | - |
| Siskiyou | 869,132 | 257,124 |
| Tehama | - | - |
| Trinity | (381,330) | - |
| Colusa | 135,377 | 45,578 |
| El Dorado | 265,219 | 57,980 |
| Glenn | - | - |
| Nevada | (55,680) | - |
| Placer | 231,389 | - |
| Sierra | 122,863 | 60,446 |
| Inyo | 1,682,499 | 456,111 |
| Mono | 924,979 | 334,171 |
| Alpine | 99,671 | 49,036 |
| Amador | 212,988 | 97,673 |
| Calaveras Mariposa | - 190,715 | 70,418 |
| Tuolumne | 304,998 | 149,363 |
| Imperial (SCAG) | 851,686 | 295,384 |
| imperial (SCAG) | 051,000 | 293,304 |
| Rural Combined Totals: | \$7,974,292 | \$2,700,698 |

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Reflects bal. 2-years old entering 3rd year.

⁻ Cycle 3 assumes the use of all cycle 2 balances.